SO-13

KRAS-G12C mutations in a Nordic cohort of 1441 metastatic colorectal cancer patients

<u>E. Osterlund¹</u>, T. Muhonen², A. Ristimäki³, S. Kytölä³, T. Kuopio⁴, P. Halonen³,
R. Kallio⁵, L. Soveri⁶, E. Heervä⁷, J. Sundström⁸, M. Keinänen⁹, A. Ålgars¹⁰,
R. Ristamäki⁸, H. Sorbye¹¹, P. Pfeiffer¹², K. Pulkkanen¹³, L. Nunes¹⁴, T. Salminen¹⁵,
A. Lamminmäki¹⁶, H. Isoniemi³, B. Glimelius¹⁴, P. Osterlund¹⁷

¹ Uppsala University, Department of Immunology, Genetics and Pathology and Helsinki University Hospital, Uppsala, Sweden; ²South Carelia Central Hospital, Lappeenranta, Finland; ³Helsinki University Hospital and Helsinki University, Helsinki, Finland; ⁴Central hospital of Central Finland, Jyväskylä, Finland; ⁵Oulu University Hospital, Oulu, Finland; ⁶Helsinki University Hospital and Hyvinkää Hospital & Homecare, Helsinki, Finland; ⁶Central Hospital and Turku University Hospital, Turku, Finland; ⁸Turku University Central Hospital and Turku University Hospital, Turku, Finland; ⁸Turku University Central Hospital and Turku University, Hospital, Turku, Finland; ⁹Tampere University Hospital, FIMLAB, Tampere, Finland; ¹⁰Turku University Hospital and University Hospital Bergen, Norway; ¹²Department of Oncology, Odense University Hospital, Odense, Denmark; ¹³Satakunta Central Hospital, Pori, Finland; ¹⁴Department of Immunology, Genetics and Pathology, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden; ¹⁵Tampere University Hospital and University of Tampere, Tampere, Finland; ¹⁶Kuopio University Central Hospital and University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio, Finland; ¹⁷Tampere, Karolinska and Helsinki Universitis and University Hospital, Tampere, Stockholm, Helsinki, Finland

Background: KRAS is mutated (mt) in nearly half of metastatic colorectal cancers (mCRC) and is a predictive marker for no benefit of anti-EGFR therapy. The KRAS-G12C mutation has a cysteine residue for which specific drugs have been developed. Current data on this specific mutation is limited and conflicting (Schirripa et al, Clin Colorectal Cancer 2020, Wong et al, Proc ASCO 2020).

Methods: Patients from the prospective real-life Finnish RAXO study, its populationbased data collection substudy and the population-based Scandinavian SP/PRCRC cohorts were combined. Patients with incomplete RAS & BRAF testing were excluded. Demographics, treatments, and outcomes were compared with logistic and Cox regression models.

Results: The KRAS-G12C mutation frequency was 4%, 4%, and 2% in all tested patients in the above-mentioned cohorts, and 7%, 8% and 4% of all KRASmt patients in the cohorts, respectively. No differences according to age, sex, performance status, synchronous/metachronous presentation, number of metastatic sites, blood counts, alkaline phosphatase, or CEA between KRAS-G12C (n=91) and other KRASmt (n=658) patients were observed. For G12C vs. other KRASmt, primary tumour site was right colon in 29% vs. 34%, left colon in 37% vs. 33%, and rectal in 34% vs. 33%. Liver metastases were present in 65% vs. 71%, lung in 40% vs. 34%, peritoneal in 14% vs. 18%, and distant lymph node in 26% vs. 22% of G12C compared with other KRASmt. Systemic therapy was given to 90% vs. 86% of G12C vs. other KRASmt cases, with no differences for number of lines of therapy, drug exposures, responses, and median overall survival (mOS). Metastasectomy and/or local ablations were performed in 38% vs. 28% for G12C vs. other KRASmt cases, and best supportive care in 9% vs. 13%, affecting mOS non-significantly (31.5 vs. 22.8 months; HR 0.83; CI95% 0.64-1.08), but not mOS within each separate treatment group (metastasectomy, systemic therapy and best supportive care alone). Differences to RAS & BRAF wildtype tumours (n=456) showed the same associations for G12C as for other KRAS or NRAS (n=54)mutations. BRAFmt cases had poorer survival.

Conclusions: In this real-life/population-based cohort, there were no significant differences in patient characteristics, treatments provided, and outcomes between the 91 KRAS-G12Cmt cases compared to those with other KRAS or NRAS mutations. This contrasts to the results of previous studies claiming differences in several aspects, however, not consistently. To show differences between rare tumour properties, large and non-selected patient series are required. When specific drugs are developed, as for this mutation, differences in outcome will hopefully emerge.

Clinical trial identification: NCT01531595 and EudraCT 2011-003137-33.

Legal entity responsible for the study: The authors.

Funding: Finska Läkaresällskapet, The Finnish Cancer Foundation, The Competitive State Research Financing of the Expert Responsibility Area of Tampere, Turku,

Annals of Oncology

Helsinki, Oulu and Kuopio University Hospitals, Tampere and Helsinki university hospital research funds, and the Swedish Cancer Society have provided grants. The infrastructure of the RAXO-study, with blood sampling, database and study nurses, was supported by pharmaceutical companies - Amgen (unrestricted grant), Lilly, Merck KGaA, Roche Finland, Sanofi and Servier (unrestricted grant). The funding sources had no role in the design and conduct of the study, collection, analysis and interpretation of the data or decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Disclosure: T. Muhonen: Advisory / Consultancy: Amgen, Roche, Eli Lilly, Servier, Merck, Sanofi; Speaker Bureau / Expert testimony: Roche; Research grant / Funding (institution): Amgen, Roche, Eli Lilly, Servier, Merck, Sanofi. R. Kallio: Honoraria (Institution): Amgen; eli Lilly, Celgene, Merck, Nordic drugs, Roche, sanofi, servier, Bayer; Advisory / Consultancy: Amgen; eli Lilly, Celgene, Merck, Nordic drugs, Roche, sanofi, servier, Bayer; Research grant / Funding (institution): Amgen; eli Lilly, Celgene, Merck, Nordic drugs, Roche, sanofi, servier, Bayer; Research grant / Funding (institution): Amgen; eli Lilly, Celgene, Merck, Nordic drugs, Roche, sanofi, servier, Bayer; Travel / Accommodation / Expenses: Amgen; eli Lilly, Celgene, Merck, Nordic drugs, Roche, sanofi, servier, Bayer. E. Heerxi: Advisory / Consultancy: Roche, Amgen, MSD, Merck, Sanofi, Bayer. J. Sundström: Advisory / Consultancy: Amgen Oy, Bayer Oy, Merck Oy; Travel / Accommodation / Expenses: Amgen Oy, Eli Lilly, Oy, Sanofi Oy, Servier Oy, Merck Oy. A. Ålgars: Advisory / Consultancy: Bayer, Sanofi, Janssen-Cilag, Pierre Fabre; Research grant / Funding (institution): Amgen, EliLilly, Merck, Roche, Sanofi, Servier; Travel / Accommodation / Expenses: Roche. T. Salminen: Advisory / Consultancy: Roche, Amgen, Lilly, Research grant / Funding (institution): Sanofi, Servier, Pierre Fabre, Bayer, Celgene, Eli Lilly, Amgen; Research grant / Funding (institution): Sanofi, Servier, Pierre Fabre, Bayer, Celgene, Eli Lilly, Amgen; Research grant / Funding (institution): Amgen, Eli Lilly, Merck, Sanofi, Servier, Roche; Travel / Accommodation / Exp enses: Roche, Merck, Sanofi, Servier, Pierre Fabre, Bayer, Celgene, Eli Lilly, Amgen; Research grant / Funding (institution): Amgen, Fili Lilly, Merck, Sanofi, Servier, Roche; Travel / Accommodation / Expenses: Roche, Merck, Sanofi, Servier, Pierre Fabre, Bayer, Celgene, Eli Lilly, Amgen, All other authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annonc.2021.05.037